| 1 | MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney WHITNEE GOINS SHEA J. KENNY | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Assistant United States Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 | | |
| 4 | Sacramento, CA 95814 | | |
| 5 | Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America | | |
| 7 | United States of America | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED S' | TATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | LASTERIV DIST | RICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 11 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | CASE NO. 2:24-CR-00029 DAD | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY | |
| 13 | v. | TRIAL ACT AND CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE; FINDINGS AND ORDER | |
| 14 | KYLE TRAVIS COLTON, | | |
| 15 | Defendant. | DATE: May 6, 2025 TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Dale A. Drozd | |
| 16 | | COURT. Holl. Date A. Dioza | |
| 17 | STIPULATION | | |
| 18 | Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and | | |
| 19 | through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows: | | |
| 20 | 1. By previous order, this matter was set for trial to begin on May 6, 2025. ECF 39. The | | |
| 21 | Trial Confirmation Hearing was set for April 14, 2025. Counsel expect that trial will require | | |
| 22 | approximately 2 trial days. | | |
| 23 | 2. By this stipulation, defendant nov | v moves to continue the trial until July 15, 2025, and re- | |
| 24 | set the Trial Confirmation Hearing for May 12, 2025, and to exclude time between May 6, 2025, and | | |
| 25 | July 15, 2025, under Local Code T4. | | |
| 26 | 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following: | | |
| 27 | a) The government has repre | esented that the discovery associated with this case | |
| 28 | includes several hundred pages of investi | gative reports and related documents in electronic form, | |
| | I | | |

20

27 28

as well as electronic discovery which has been made available to the defendant for review in the government's office (due to the nature of the materials). In addition, on March 26, 2025, the government produced an additional 85 pages of discovery, consisting largely of grand jury testimony of potential trial witnesses. Much of the discovery in this case is covered by the parties' stipulated protective order (ECF 19), which requires that defense counsel maintain possession of certain discovery and that the defendant may only view this discovery while in the presence of defense counsel. This necessitates additional time to effectively prepare. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to prepare for trial. Counsel for the defendant may also explore a potential motion to dismiss of the indictment. Finally, counsel for the defendant has a scheduling conflict with a speedy trial, and cannot proceed with trial in this case on May 6, 2025.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of May 6, 2025 to July 15, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\(\} 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- In addition, time continues to be excluded while defendant's motion to suppress is g) pending, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(1)(D) [Local Code E].

Case 2:24-cr-00029-DAD Document 47 Filed 04/11/25 Page 3 of 3

| 1 | 4. Nothing in this stipulation | and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a tria | | |
| 3 | must commence. | | |
| 4 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | Dated: April 11, 2025 | MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney | |
| 8 | | · | |
| 9 | | /s/ WHITNEE GOINS WHITNEE GOINS | |
| 10 | | SHEA J. KENNY Assistant United States Attorneys | |
| 11 | | · | |
| 12 | Dated: April 11, 2025 | /s/ DOUGLAS BEEVERS | |
| 13 | | DOUGLAS BEEVERS Counsel for Defendant | |
| 14 | | KYLE TRAVIS COLTON | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | ORDER | |
| 17 | Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, the trial date in this case | | |
| 18 | previously scheduled for May 6, 2025 is continued to July 15, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. and the Trial | | |
| 19 | Confirmation Hearing is continued to May 12, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. In addition, time is excluded between | | |
| 20 | May 6, 2025, and July 15, 2025, under Local Code T4. ¹ | | |
| 21 | | cui Code 14. | |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | \mathfrak{I} | |
| 23 | Dated: April 11, 2025 | DALE A. Drozd DALE A. DROZD | |
| 24 | | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |

On April 10, 2025, the court issued an order denying the defendant's previously pending motion to suppress evidence. (Doc. No. 45.) Accordingly, the exclusion of time based on a pending motion, as opposed to the exclusion under Local Code T4, expired on or before April 10, 2025.